

Errata #1 November 2005 through January 2006

Document, Section, Page	Error / Original Text	Correction
Revised Plan Ch1 Table 1-12, pg 1-48, 1-49		Delete MAs 2.1, 3.24, 5.24, and 8.1 Done 11-04-2005
		Change MA 3.1 to MA MW Done 11-04-2005
	Incorrect footnotes in the table. Incorrect tactical option for MAs 1.32, 1.33.	When MA 3.24 was deleted, footnote 19 was also deleted.
		Footnotes 13 and 14 were deleted; they were superfluous. This caused all subsequent footnotes to be renumbered.
		Footnote 13 was renumbered 13a to accommodate new footnote 13b (see below).
		New footnote (13b) added for MA 1.2.
		Tactical option for MAs 1.32 and 1.33 is now X ¹⁴
		Footnote for MA 1.5 now includes additional wording.
		Footnote for MA 2.2 was corrected.
		Footnote for MA 3.4 has been corrected.
		Footnote for MA 4.4 has been deleted.
		Replacement page created (amr_tbl112005). 11-04-2005
Revised Plan Ch4 Monitoring Strategy Table Monitoring Driver #5	Wording changes/additions required to improve aquatic monitoring strategy. Delete Notes – the information is incorrect.	Corrections made in replacement Monitoring Strategy table. 01-06-2006

Document, Section, Page	Error / Original Text	Correction
Revised Plan Ch4, cont. Monitoring Driver #6	Additional wording required to clarify the monitoring items. Delete Notes – the information is captured in the strategy in Plan Chapter 1 Forestwide Direction.	Corrections made in replacement Monitoring Strategy table. 01-06-2006
Monitoring Driver #8	Incorrect strategies were referenced under Monitoring Drivers. Additional wording or rewording of Potential Monitoring Items 1 and 2 was required for clarification.	Corrections made in replacement Monitoring Strategy table. 01-06-2006
Monitoring Driver #9	Inconsistent numbering of Potential Monitoring Items for this monitoring driver.	Corrections made in replacement Monitoring Strategy table. 01-06-2006
Monitoring Driver #10	Incorrect strategies were referenced under Monitoring Drivers. Additional wording or rewording of Potential Monitoring Item 9 was required for clarification. Frequency of reporting for Potential Monitoring Item 9 was incorrect.	Corrections made in replacement Monitoring Strategy table. 01-06-2006
Monitoring Driver #11	Incorrect strategies were referenced under Monitoring Drivers.	Corrections made in replacement Monitoring Strategy table. 01-24-2006
Monitoring Driver #14	Strategy #3 is already listed in Monitoring Driver #16.	Strategy #3 was deleted in Monitoring Driver #14. 01-24-2006
Monitoring Driver #18	This item and Monitoring Driver #20 are very similar, though each contained some errors.	This monitoring driver has been corrected. The “Notes” text replaced with text from #20. The monitoring questions were rearranged to better correspond to the potential monitoring items, and monitoring question text from #20 was added.

Document, Section, Page	Error / Original Text	Correction
Revised Plan Ch4, cont. Monitoring Driver #20	This item was very similar to Monitoring Driver #18.	Monitoring Driver has been retired but not deleted to avoid renumbering the subsequent monitoring drivers. 01-24-2006
Monitoring Driver #26	Incorrect strategies were referenced under Monitoring Drivers.	Corrections made in replacement Monitoring Strategy table. 01-06-2006
Monitoring Driver #28A NEW	A monitoring item for Geologic and Paleontological Resources Strategy 1 was not included in the table.	This monitoring item was added to the replacement Monitoring Strategy table. 01-06-2006
Monitoring Driver #28	This item required renumbering to account for the addition of a monitoring item for Geologic and Paleontological Resources Strategy 1	#28 is now #28B. This correction was made in replacement Monitoring Strategy table. 01-06-2006
Monitoring Driver #42	Incorrect objective and strategy were referenced.	Corrections made in replacement Monitoring Strategy table. 01-25-2006
Monitoring Driver #43	Additional wording or rewording of the Potential Monitoring Item was required for clarification. Rewording of the Notes statement was required for clarification.	Corrections made in replacement Monitoring Strategy table. 01-06-2006
Monitoring Driver #44	Incorrect strategy was referenced.	Correction made in replacement Monitoring Strategy table. 01-25-2006
Monitoring Driver #47	Incorrect guideline was referenced.	Correction made in replacement Monitoring Strategy table. 01-25-2006

Document, Section, Page	Error / Original Text	Correction
FEIS Ch3 Communities sections Table 3-227, page 3-504	The following values are transposed: Base year timber value = 184 Base year expenditures value = 23	Base year timber value = 23 Base year expenditures = 184 Replacement page created. 11-04-2005
Bibliography, page 34	The following reference is incorrect: Winters, D. 2003. Aquatic ecosystem assessment of the Bighorn landscape. USDA Forest Service. Lakewood, CO.	Winters, D., B. Bohn, D. Cooper, J. Hamerlink, N. Lee, J. Maxwell, D.P. Peterson, D. Plume, N.L. Poff, C. Quimby, F.J. Rahel, D. Staley, and E. Wohl. 2004. Aquatic, riparian, and wetland ecosystem assessment for the Bighorn National Forest, Wyoming. D. Winters and M. Welker, editors. USDA Forest Service. Lakewood, CO. Correction made, replacement page created 12-20-2005
Note: The incorrect (Winters 2003) citation appears throughout the FEIS and Plan. Rather than create multiple replacement pages to correct every citation, this note will serve as a blanket correction: <i>(Winters 2003) should be (Winters et al. 2004) in every occurrence in the plan and FEIS documents.</i>		
FEIS Appendix B The following errata only apply to the PDF versions of FEIS Appendix B (on the Web and CD). A corrected PDF version of FEIS Appendix B has been created.		
Table of Contents	In the PDF document, tables listed do not correspond to the tables in the document from B-11 through B-28.	This has been corrected in the replacement PDF version of FEIS Appendix B. 01-05-2006
FEIS Appendix B Table B-12, p. B-16	In the PDF document, there are two tables labeled B-12.	This has been corrected in the replacement PDF version of FEIS Appendix B. 01-05-2006

Document, Section, Page	Error / Original Text	Correction
FEIS Appendix B Table B-19, p. B-32	In the PDF document, there are two tables labeled B-19.	This has been corrected in the replacement PDF version of FEIS Appendix B. 01-05-2006
FEIS Appendix B Table B-19, p. B-32	Highlighted values in Table B-19 are incorrect (see below).	Table values have been corrected (see replacement page). Replacement pages created for hard copy document (feis_tbl_b_19). Corrections also made in replacement PDF version of FEIS Appendix B. 11-04-2005

Table B-19. Acres of land determined as suitable for livestock use

Classification/Description	Cattle		Sheep	
	Acres Deducted	Running Totals	Acres Deducted	Running Totals
Net National Forest System Acres	-----	1,105,017	-----	1,105,017
Deductions for other than capable acres	492,463	612,554	441,842	663,175
Deductions for other than suitable acres	0	612,554	0	663,175
-Existing canopy cover >70%	474678.7	137,875	474678.7	188,496
-Shell Canyon and Bull Elk Park RNA's that exclude livestock	175.1987	137,700	175.1987	188,321
Developed recreation sites	145.08	137,555	145.08	188,176
-Range exclosures	2067.718	135,487	2067.718	186,108
-Forage not available due to right-of-way fences & other limitations	872.6389	134,615	872.6389	185,236
-Current grazing closures	0	134,615	0	185,236
-Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species Closures	0	134,615	0	185,236
-Other incompatibilities	0	134,615	0	185,236
-Economical Feasibility	0	134,615	0	185,236
Total suitable acres (cattle)		134,615		
Total suitable acres (sheep)				185,235

Document, Section, Page	Error / Original Text	Correction
FEIS Appendix B Table B-20, pg. B-32	Total Suitable Determination Acres for Cattle grazing = 134,615	Total Suitable Determination Acres for Cattle grazing = 180,942 Replacement pages created (feis_tbl_b_19). 11-04-2005 This correction has also been made in the new PDF version of this appendix. lkh 01-05-2006
FEIS Appendix B Pg. B-37 First bullet under item #2	The list of map units (first bullet under #2) is in error. 2. Subtract soil types that are dominated by a large percentage of rock outcrop and rubbleland, loose granitic or highly erosive soils, very wet and boggy soils, and sites with high mass movement risk. Optional - to identify erosive areas, a geologic layer to identify active landslides, slumps, etc. may be used. Soil Survey indicated that soils dominated by a large percentage of -rock outcrop and rubbleland in map units 10, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37. We recognize that the soil survey lists relative percentages of rock outcrop and rubbleland in each map unit, and	Delete map unit "37" in first bullet under #2. Replacement page (for hard copy document) generated. Correction also made in replacement PDF version of FEIS Appendix B 01-5-2006
FEIS Appendix B Footnote 3, p. B-61	Footnote #3 contains information already covered in footnote #2, and it is a roadless area footnote that does not belong under the Water Yield topic	Footnote #3 has been deleted. Replacement page generated for hard copy Correction also made in replacement PDF version of this appendix.
FEIS Appendix B Range suitability discussion Pg B-41 through B-46		To be decided
